CF0000058591

GA 1039/92

Division Bench (Cr

APPEAL OF PRISONER

No. 5215 Name अकबर राम

Father's Name माधू, साकिन - खारी बहार, थाना - परसाबहार

Residence जिला - रायगढ़ (मध्य) Age 25 वर्ष,

Sentenced आजन्म कारावास on 8.7.1992

Under section 302 I.P.C. by न्याय - अपर सत्र न्यायाधीश रायपुर (ना) जिला रायगढ़ (म.प्र.) S.T. No. 119/9

it is explained to the prisoner that if he states or wishes to be represented by legal practitioner the Appeliate Court will not proceed with the base for seven days unless the legal practitioner appears. If the legal practitioner does not appear within seven days he may not heard at all. If the prisoner states that he does not wish to be represented by legal practitioner the court may proceed at once with the case and will not be obliged to give a hearing to any legal practitioner who should appear.

1 Date of Application for copy of Judgement 8.7.1992

2 Date of which copy received 8.9.1992

3 Date on which Appeal sent 8.9.1992

4 whether the prisoner wishes to be represented or not - Yes / ~~No~~.

No. 5215 Name अकबर राम

Continued in CENTRE Jail RAIPUR (M.P.)

No. 452/Octeyam Dated 92 - 8 - 9 - 1992

Forward to the ~~CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE~~ अतिरिक्त सत्र न्यायाधीश सह सातवीं उच्च न्यायालय मजिस्ट्रेट to gather which a copy of order passed in the case for favour of transmission proper Appeliate Court.

अधीक्षक
केन्द्रीय जेल, रायपुर (म. प्र.)

Superintendent

Date of receipt in C. J. M's office

Date of receipt record to accompany

Memo of Appeal of the Appeliate court

Dated 198

XI-HC-22

(26)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक G.A.1039/92 सन् ~~200~~

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Division Bench: - **Hon'ble Mr. L.C. Bhadoo and** **Hon'ble Mr. Dhirendra Mishra, JJ.** **6-1-2006** Mrs. Savita Tiwari, counsel for the appellant. Mr. U.N.S. Deo, Additional Public Prosecutor with Mr. Alok Bakshi, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondent. Heard. At the outset, Mr. U.N.S. Deo, learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted a written communication to the effect that accused/appellant Bairam has been released on permanent probation on 26-4-2002 while undergoing sentence in the Central Jail, Raipur, as per the information received from the Central Jail, Bilaspur. In view of the above fact, learned counsel for the appellant is not interested in prosecuting this appeal. Therefore, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. Let an intimation to this effect be sent to the Superintendent, Central Jail, Raipur for information and necessary action. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge Sd/- DHIRENDRA MISHRA Judge	